



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HD

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,837	08/24/2006	Chan Hyuk Chyun	31132/42273	1430
4743	7590	07/31/2007	EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ROWAN, KURT C	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3643		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,837	CHYUN, CHAN HYUK
	Examiner Kurt Rowan	Art Unit 3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date August 24, 2006.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 5-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Regan et al. (US 5651211).

The patent to Regan shows an insect trapping mechanism having a UV light 148 as disclosed in column 7, lines 45-46. Regan shows a birdlime cartridge 150 and a birdlime 158 configured to be wound on the birdlime cartridge and a winding means configured to wind the birdlime at a variable speed as disclosed in column 7, lines 1-19. The term "birdlime" has been taken to mean –adhesive—and the specification should reflect this. In reference to claim 2, see column 7, lines 8-12. In reference to claims 5-6, see column 7, lines 12-15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Regan et al.

The patent to Regan shows an insect trapping device as discussed above. Regan discloses noting the concentration of insects on the trapping material perhaps by a visual inspection, but does not discloses a means for determining the amount of insects captured on the birdlime or adhesive by the use of a sensor. In reference to claim 3, it would have been obvious to employ a sensor for discriminating intensity since merely one means for determining intensity is being substituted for another and the function is the same. In reference to claim 4, Regan does not disclose a display, but it would have been obvious to employ a display to inform a user as to the time to replace the cartridge. The examiner takes Official Notice that displays and signals to inform a user to attend to the trap are old and well known in the trapping art.

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Regan as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carver, Sr. (US 6502347). The patent to Regan and Carver show insect trapping devices. Regan has been discussed above and does not show a part of the cover fabricated from a transparent material. The patent to Carver shows an insect trap having a housing with a cover made in part from a transparent material and made in part from an opaque material as disclosed in column 3, lines 59- column 4, line 9 with the top and bottom of the cover being made from an opaque material and the side wall being made from a transparent material so that the light can attract insects. In reference to claims 7-8, it would have been obvious to provide Regan with a cover made from a transparent material and an opaque material as disclosed by Carver to direct the light from the bulb to a desired

area (transparent part of the cover) and to preclude viewing part of the interior of the trap (opaque part of the cover) from the bottom.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents and patent application publications to Bagnall, Nelson, Greening, Kitterman, and Kitterman et al. show other insect traps with lights and adhesives to catch insects.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,837

Art Unit: 3643

Page 5



Kurt Rowan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

KR